Report of the 4th IBO 1993

Contents

		Page
	The Emblem	2
1	Preface	3
2	Organizers and volunteers	5
3	Sponsors	8
4	Program	10
5	Participating countries	15
6	Review of the tests, results and final ranking	21



The Emblem

For ages the struggle for life in the Netherlands had much to do with a competition between land and water. A good deal of the country is situated below sea level. This is symbolized by the olympic rings in between the waves.

1 Preface

In this book you will find the report of the IVth International Biology Olympiad. The Olympiad was held from 4 to 11 July 1993 in Utrecht, The Netherlands.

This year 15 countries participated with a team, consisting of four students and two delegationleaders. There were observers from 6 countries and of course from the Coordinating Centre in Prague. Then there were the people backstage. In total at least 150 people were actively involved to make this manifestation a success.

With a very small group of only three people, we started the organization: Miss Olga Kok, Drs. J. Morélis, Prof. P. Voogt. We needed some time to realize what an enormous event this was going to be. It meant a year of hard work, coordinating the activities, making the tests, fund raising and arranging a program. In the end numerous people worked hard to get everything prepared in time; the translators, the interpretors, the catering, security, suppliers of the practical facilities.

Preparing the tests was a task in itself. Biologists from the Utrecht University and one from the Agricultural University in Wageningen, have made a big effort to produce an attractive set of problems. We started with the idea to have the tests rounded off in April. But we overlooked some biological difficulties in making a practical test. For instance: our first fertilization experiments with growing maize plants failed completely due to problems with controling the light intensity conditions. That we succeeded to produce a satisfactory test in time after all, was due not only to the test preparing team, but also to the hard work of mr. C. Loffeld, mr. K. v. Rhee and dr. J. Broertjes. A special thanks goes out to them.

The tests were translated in English and Russian, a job which was finished just before the olympiad started. During the olympiad, the delegationleaders had to translate all this into their native language. An incredible effort for all. And although a few things went wrong, in general it was a very accurately performed task. Every year attempts are being made to reduce the amount of text to translate; let's hope the next organizing countries will succeed better than we did in reducing the pages!

In the social program we have tried to show some of the typical dutch countryside, which means a lot has been done by boat. What more can you wish to happen than 15 nationalities on board of a dutch schooner on the IJsselmeer, singing songs from all the different countries and seventy-five young people exchanging addresses at the end of the week.

Twenty-seven volunteers were active during this week. They were either biology students form the Utrecht University or previous participants of a Biology Olympiad. All of them very enthusiastic and anxious to participate. For the 15 student guides the tasks were quite clear. The others formed a tight group, just out of the visual reach of the participants. They were part of the crew backstage and supervised that the whole program ran as smoothly as possible. The minibusdrivers, the photographers, the olympic daily editors, the people copying during the night till 5 a.m.

They made what sometimes seemed impossible run as smoothly as if nothing ever could go wrong. We appriciated this cooperation very much.

For the organizers this olympiad was an exiting event with many peak experiences. Olga Kok who did a marellous job expressed it as follows: "I have learned a lot this year. As a newcomer in an established structure, I had to find my position, define my tasks and prove my capability. Organizing this olympiad also gave me the opportunity to cooperate with many different people, in very different skills and professions. I felt like a chameleon at times. At one moment you talk to and important sponsor, the next it's 4 a.m. and I'm behind a copier or addressing the crowd of participants, telling them that the bus will leave at 9.15 a.m. The week was crazy and I loved it."

There are some people that we would like to mention because of their special contribution to the IBO. Prof. Lambers for presiding the international jury.

Mr. Henkens, from the Ministry of Education and Sciences, for the presentation of the prizes. Mr. van Lidt de Jeude, alderman for education in the city council of Utrecht, for his last minute arrangement for a reception in the city hall.

Marjolein de Vries, who must have gone crazy, having to type and illustrate the original tests, while getting so many different versions to work with. It looked very good in the end.

Margarita Veldhuizen, who managed to be a perfect interpreter at very unusual circumstances. Ar den Boer, who spend days and probably nights, after the olympiad was over to send everybody the photographs they ordered.

Francien Morshuis who did a splendid job in processing the report you are reading now. And last but not least Eeske Verhallen, who was a very reliable and indefatigable assistent during the last two months before the IBO started and of course during the the week of the IBO. She has moved mountains and remained motivated when things got a little rough. We think we made a perfect team!

Olga Kok Hans Morélis Peter Voogt



Olga and Eeske, the heart of the organization

2 Organizers and volunteers

The board of the foundation biology olympiad of the Netherlands

Drs. J. Morelis, chairman Prof. Dr. P.A. Voogt, secretary Drs. P.K. Leendertz, treasurer

Organizing committee

Drs. J. Morelis, chairman and fundraising Prof. P.A. Voogt, organization as regards contents of the tests Mw. O.J.M. Kok, daily organization Mw. E. Verhallen, assistent to the organizer

Members of the tests teams

Theoretical test

dr. F. Warnaar dr. A.F.H. Cremers dr. J.H.B. Diederen dr. H.H.W. Velthuis Miss dr. G. de Jong dr. M.A.P.A. Aerts

Practical test

dr. F. Warnaar dr. R. Bobbink dr. H. Poorter dr. T. Bongers

Chairman of the jury

Prof.dr. J.T. Lambers



Olympic VIP's

Volunteers

Minibus drivers

Finley Koolhoven Claes Groot Mike van der Bruggen

Olympic daily

Sander Voormolen Chantal Wilhelmus

Fotographers

Ar den Boer Jos van der Heijden

Allknowers in the olympiad information center

Eeske Verhallen Marie-José Goumans Mariëlle Hodzelmans Arjen Threels Peter-Bram 't Hoen

Student guides

Linda de Poorter Grietus Mulder Sipke Loonstra Meeke de Kanter Corette Wiereinga Aske van Werkhoven Henk-jan Schoonebeek Jeroen Nijman

Country

Australia Bulgaria Germany Poland Czech Republic Slovak Republic The Netherlands Turkey Janneke Hendriks Rian van de Schoot Frans van Dunné Ard Schrier Claudia Hofstra Nathalie Thoonsen Nathalie Bouhelier Belorussia Ukrain Russia Thailand China Sweden Belgium



Even a volunteer gets sleepy

3 Sponsors

A tribute to our sponsors. Without them it would not have been possible to organize the 4th International Biology Olympiad.





4 Program

Students program

Sunday july 4

Arrival of the delegations		
18.30	Dinner, with instructions about the organization	

Monday july 5

8.00-9.00	Breakfast
9.15	Coach leaving from youth hostel for Gertrudiskapel
10.00-12.00	Opening Ceremony
12.15	Coach leaving for the Uithof, Bestuursgebouw
12.30	Lunch offered by the University of Utrecht
13.15	Coach leaving for the city center
13.30-15.30	City walk through Utrecht
15.30-16.30	Tea at the city hall
16.45	Coach leaving for the youth hostel
18.00	Dinner at the youth hostel
19.30	Coach leaving for the theatre
20.00-21.30	Jan Rauh and his special theatre
22.00	Coach leaving for the youth hostel

Tuesday july 6

7.30	Breakfast
8.00	Coach leaving for Wentgebouw
8.30-13.00	Practical test
13.30	Coach leaving for Arnhem
14.30	Arrival at Burgers' Zoo in Arnhem
18.30	Dinner in the Zoo
21.00	Coach leaving for the youth hostel

Wednesday july 7

8.00	Breakfast
8.30	Coach leaving for Enkhuizen
10.00	Arrival in Enkhuizen and boarding on the 3-mast schooner the Willem Barentz. Sailing to Lelystad Harbour, visit the VOC-ship the Batavia.
13.30	Coach leaving for Amsterdam
18.00	Coach leaving for youth hostel
19.00	Dinner at youth hostel

Thursday july 8

7.30-8.15	Breakfast
8.30	Coach leaving for the Wentgebouw
9.00-13.00	Theoretical test
13.30	Coaches leaving for 1. Unilever, Vlaardingen and 2. Gist brocades, Delft
14.15	Arrival in Vlaardingen and Delft
17.00	Leaving for Rotterdam
17.30-21.30	Trip through the Rotterdam Harbours and dinner on board of the ship
21.45	Coach leaving for youth hostel

Friday july 9

7.30-8.15	Breakfast
8.30	Coach leaving for Enkhuizen
9.45	Arrival in Enkhuizen
10.00	Visiting 1. Zaadunie, 2. Royal Sluijs
13.00	Coach leaving for Harderwijk
14.00	Arrival at Dolfinarium Harderwijk
18.00	Coaches leaving for the youth hostel
19.00-22.00	Barbecue at the youth hostel
Minibuses a	re available to take you to the city center or the Biltsche Hoek

Saturday july 10

Morning free

12.30-13.30	Lunch at the youth hostel
14.30	Coach leaving for the Botanical Gardens
15.00-18.00	Closing ceremony and reception with ambassadors and cultural attaches from the
	participating countries
18.00	Coach leaving for the youth hostel
19.00	Coach leaving for the evening program

Sunday july 11

Departure of the delegations

Delegation leaders program

Sunday july 4

Arrival of the delegations		
18.30	Dinner, with instructions about the organization	

Monday july 5

8.00-9.00	Breakfast
9.15	Coach leaving the Biltsche Hoek for the Gertrudiskapel
10.00-12.00	Opening ceremony
12.15	Coach leaving for the Bestuursgebouw in the Uithof
12.30	Lunch offered by the University of Utrecht
13.15	Leaving, by foot, for the Wentgebouw
13.30-?	Discussion on and translation of practical test
18.30	Dinner is served in the cantine of the Wentgebouw
Minibuses are permanently available to take you to the Biltsche Hoek	

Tuesday july 6

8.00-9.00	Breakfast
9.30	Coach leaving for the city center
9.45	City walk and coffee in Kasteel Oudaen
11.30	Coach leaving for the Wentgebouw
	Meat the students after the practical test
13.30	Coaches leaving for Burgers' Zoo in Arnhem
14.30	Arrival in Burgers' Zoo
18.30	Dinner in the Zoo
21.00	Leaving for the Biltsche Hoek

Wednesday july 7

7.30-8.15	Breakfast
8.30	Leaving for the Wentgebouw
8.45 -?	Discussion on and translation of theoretical test
12.00-13.30	Possibility to have lunch
18.00-19.30	Dinner break
Minibuses ar	e permanently available to take you to the Biltsche Hoek

Thursday july 8

, Delft
nip

Friday july 9

This whole day the program will be together with the students

7.30-8.15	Breakfast			
8.30	Coach leaving for Enkhuizen			
9.45	Arrival in Enkhuizen			
10.00	Visiting 1. Zaadunie, 2. Royal Sluijs			
13.00	Coach leaving for Harderwijk			
14.00	Arrival at Dolfinarium Harderwijk			
18.00	Coaches leaving for the youth hostel			
19.00-22.00	Barbecue at the youth hostel			
Minibuses are available to take you to the city center or the Biltsche Hoek				

Saturday july 10

7.30-8.15	Breakfast
8.30	Leaving for the Wentgebouw
8.45-13.00	Confirmation of the winners and final results
13.00-14.30	Possibility to have lunch and go to the Biltsche Hoek
14.30	Coach leaving from hotel for Botanical Gardens
	Those who have decided not to go to the hotel, will walk to the Botanical Gardens
15.00-18.00	Closing ceremony and reception with ambassadors and cultural attaches from the
	participating countries
18.00	Coach leaving for the Biltsche Hoek
19.00	Coach leaving for the evening program

Sunday july 11

Departure of the delegation....



Sailing on the IJsselmeer

5 Participating countries

Delegationleaders	
AUSTRALIA Andrew Walter P.O. Box 7 Violet Town 3669 tel: +61-57-981301 fax: +61-57-981652	Davey Matthew John XXIII College ANV 0200 tel:+61-62-794501 fax: +61-62-490741
BELGIUM Michel Asperges Onderwijslaan 87 359 Diepenbeek tel: 011-324108 fax: 011-268199	Madeleine Demonty-Tissot R. de Moortebeek 122 B-1080 Bruxelles tel:+32-2-5221120
BELORUSSIA dr. Elena Shalapionok Belorussian University fak. Biology/ dept. zoology 220039 Minsk s.Voronianskogo 3,33 tel:785518 (work) 258733 (home) fax: 7-0172-274629	Galina Romanovec 220010 Minsk Ministry education of Republika Belorussia fax:0172-274629
BULGARIA Prof. Vassil Grigorov Golemanski Institute of Zoology Ruskistr 1, Sofia 1000 tel:359-2-885115	Nevenka Muleshova Sofia University
CHINA Prof. Wu Xiang-yu Biology Dept. Peking Univ. 100871 Bejing tel:86-1-2552471 fax: 86-1-8321914	Prof. Liu Enshan Biology Dept. Beijing Normal University tel: 2012288-2717 (o)
CZECK REPUBLIC Prof. dr.Vítezslav Bicík PrFUP Svobody 26 77146 Olomouc tel: +42-68-22451	dr. Jan Stoklasa PrFUK Vinicná 7 Praha 2 tel:+42-2-292151/3204

GERMANY	
Prof.dr. Erwin Zabel Bürgermeister Dahse-Str. 7 0-2600 Güstrow tel: 03843-32443	dr. Annett Hartmann Johannes Vernerstr. 9b 0-1197 Berlin tel:030-6552451 fax:+49-30-6350153
THE NETHERLANDS	
dr.ir. Kees Bos Drijenlaan 2 6703 HA Wageningen tel:08370-82053 fax: 08370-83146	ir. A. Alblas Hollandseweg 1 6706 KN Wageningen tel:08370-84563 fax:08370-84763
POLAND	
dr. Jan Fronk Inst. Biochem. U.W. Zwirki i Wigury 93 02 089 Warzawa tel/fax: 48-22-232046/220248	Malgosia Kilen Kgob Felinskiego 15, 01513 Warszawa homephone: 130007
RUSSIA	
Valeria Kucmenko Ministerstvo obrazovania Rossii Cistoprudnyj bulvar 6 101856 Moskva tel: 7-095-9257812 fax: 7-095-9246989	Vladislav Sivoglazov Marinoj Roschi 3rd proyezd 129246 Moskva
SLOVAK REPUBLIC	
Pavel Eliás Institute of Botan Slovak Acad. Sci. Dúbravská 14 842 23 Bratislava tel: +42-7-3782722 or 373294 fax: +42-7-371948	dr. Samuel Pacenovsky Hrnciarska 7 04001 Kosice
SWEDEN	
Andreas Ehn Kohagsv. 11 S-75653 Uppsala tel: 018-324346 fax: 46-18-503510	dr. Monica Fernholm Rösegat. 12 S-78454 Borlänge tel: 0243-29992
THAILAND	
dr. Sumonta Promboon IPST 924 Sukhumvit Road Bangkok 10110 tel: 2580310-3/203 fax: 662-260-1028	dr. Usanee Yodyinguad IPST 924 Sukhumvit Road Bangkok 10110 tel:662-2183305 fax:662-215-3635

TURKEY	Prof.dr. Ali Demirsoy
	H.U. Biyoloji Bölümü Beytepe/Ank.
Prof.dr. Askin Tümer	tel: 90-4-2352500/1378
H.U. Biyoloji Bölümü Beytepe/Ank.	fax: 90-4-2352341
tel: 90-4-2352500/1527	
fax: 90-4-2352341	
UKRAIN	
Alisa Snegur	Nikolaj Tzepukz
Kiev	Kiev
Observatornaya 21, kv.5	Entuziastov 7/3, kv.4
tel:212-36-60	
fax: (044)-417-83-36	
Observers	
COORDINATING CENTRE	
dr. Tomás Soukup	
Fyziologicky ústav CAV	
Vídenská 1083	
142 00 Praha 4	
tel: +42-2-4719741	
fax: +42-2-4719517	
AZERBEIJAN	ICELAND
Mustafa Petek	Johann Gudjonsson
Dervisali mah. Dolapli bostan sok. No.25	Nönnustigur 8
34240 Fatih	220 Hafnarfjördur
Istanbul	tel: 354152119
Turkey	fax: 3541650491
tel:90-1-5346927	
fax:90-1-5334192	
ISRAEL	UZBEKHISTAN
Tamir Pinchas	Memduh Akkus
Israel Science Teaching Center	Dervisali mah. Dolapli bostan sok. No.25
Hebrew University	Fatih
GIVAT RAM	Istanbul
Jerusalem 91904	Turkey
tel: 972-2-661774	tel:90-1-5346927
fax: 972-2-585708	fax:90-1-5334192
Ian. 312-2-303100	Iax.30-1-3534132
KAZAKHISTAN	THAILAND
Kadir Tuzlak	Director of IPST, Prof. Charuni Sutabutr
Dervisali mah. Dolapli bostan sok. No.25	Mr. Choosilp Attachoo
Fatih	Ms. Chantana Anolavup
Istanbul	924 Sukhumvit Road
Turkey	Bangkok 10110
tel:90-1-5346927	Thailand
fax:90-1-5334192	tel:662-3924021
	fax:662-3810750

KIRGIZHISTAN	
Cengiz Altuntas	
Dervisali mah. Dolapli bostan sok. No.25	
Fatih	
Istanbul	
Turkey	
tel:90-1-5346927	
fax:90-1-5334192	



On board of the VOC ship Batavia (replica 17th century)

Students

AUSTRALIA

Anna Bown Toby Handfield Amanda Henry Amanda Shaw

BELGIUM

Nico Boon Eva Smets Xavier De Keuleneer Madjid Mansouri

BELORUSSIA

Elena Knatko Elena Truchatchova Elena Kuzmina Dmitri Petrikovich

BULGARIA

Tanyi Teneva Petar Shourolinkov Emil Karaulanov Dejan Jordanov

CHINA

Xu Xing Liu Yue-Yi Gao Lu Ou Gang Xiao-guang

SLOVAK REPUBLIC

Miroslav Hric Ivor Svetlanksy Andrea Jankacká Lucia Kilárska

SWEDEN

Basven-Erik Bartfay Johan Ahlen Magnus Edvardsson Johan Jönemo

CZECH REPUBLIC

Stanislav Lhota Ivana Kotorová Pavel Hulva Lenka Kratzerová

GERMANY

Katja Wurzinger Axel Niebisch Tanja Scheler Ralf Kittler

THE NETHERLANDS

Stephan Houben Chris Bakker Edith Raats Sander Nijdam

POLAND

Szymon Gomulka Grzegorz Napela Karol Kaminsky Radoslaw Tomalski

RUSSIA

Natalia Slokasova Rachmatullin Ramil Michail Kaganski Elena Kvasjnina

TURKEY

Hikmet Köseoglu Inci Asli Sonat Can Kerem Erdön Çagan Hakki Sekercioglu

UKRAIN

Yevgen Savrasov Dmitro Kovtum Miroslav Rosul Boris Shtonda

THAILAND	
Cholodda Vejabhuti Maneeratana Nuntarukchaikul Warit Utanwutipong Saranya Chumsri	



Making friends

6. Review of the tests, results and final ranking

Results

As in previous years, scores showed a wide range, varying from 290 (72.1%) to 139 (34.6%) points of the total of 402 points attainable.

Previous IBO's showed a tendency to increasing highest scores. (1990, 68.7%; 1991, 81.5%, 1992, 84.9%), but this trend was not continued this year. At least three causes can be indicated:

* there has been a strong shift from anatomy and taxonomy towards molecular-, cell- and microbiology.

- * emphasis has been stronger on insight and interpretation than on factual knowledge. These two changes made the theoretical test more difficult than it used to be.
- * the scores of the practical test were somewhat disappointing.

Closer analysis of the results shows that the mean score of the multiple choice part (part A) of the theoretical test amounted to 63.7%, with a range from 42.0 to 86.3%. The mean score of the second part amounted to 57.1%, with a range from 20.6 to 82.5%. So the second part strongly discriminated between the competitors. This is also clear from the figure 1.

Figure 1

The mean score of the practical test was only 97 (48.5%) points of the 201 points attainable, with a very wide spread of the scores. These ranged from 139 (69.2%) to 33 (16.8%) points. (See fig. 2)

The highest score for the first part was attained by a Chinese (female) student, for the second part by a Bulgarian (male) student, while the highest score for the total theoretical test was attained by a Polish (male) student (82.3%).

Figure 2

Due to the awarding of the same weight to the theoretical and practical test, the scores of the practical part strongly influenced the overall-results. This also happened in 1992 during the third IBO. As a result of the wide range in the scores, the practical task has strongly discriminated between the competitors. Figure 3 and 4 show the effects of the theoretical and the practical test. From these figures it can be concluded that:

- the practical part is discriminating stronger than the theoretical part.
- * the tasks are complementary and are needed both.

The highest score for the total practical part was attained by an Australian female student.





The five parts of the practical task differed from each other in the mean biological disciplines involved. The first part dealt with zoological subjects, nematods, which had to be determined on the grounds of morphological criteria, and to be categorized. From these results conclusions had to be drawn about the effect of manuring on the composition of the nematod fauna.

The second part dealt with the effect of nitrogen gift on dry weight, leaf area and root length of maize plants grown at different nitrogen regimes. Explanations were asked for the differences observed. Essentially this was a plant physiological problem.

In the third part sections had to be made from the maizeplants used in the second part and morphological and anatomical differences had to be detected. The students had to find five differences (which were very evident). These differences also comprised the amount of chlorophyll and starch in the sections. From these data conclusions had to be drawn. This part could be best indicated as functional anatomy, connecting pure plant anatomy and plant physiology.

The fourth and the fifth part were more ecological in nature, dealing with intra- and interspecies competition and the effects on biodiversity, respectively.

As to the performances of the students: The ecological parts (four and five) were by far made best, with mean scores of 64.1 and 64.0%, respectively. (See figure 5). The highest scores for these parts were attained by a Bulgarian student (100%!) and a German student (91.7%), respectively.

The first part, on nematods, had a mean score of 50%. The highest score on this part was attained by a Dutch student (90%).

The second part, plant physiology, had a mean score of only 41.5%. The highest score on this part was attained by a Dutch student (90.2%).

The third part, functional anatomy, had a poor mean score of 24.4%. The highest score on this part was attained ex aequo by a Chinese, a Belorussian and a Czech student (62.2%).



The poor results of the third part are probably due to the following causes:

First to the open nature of the task: finding differences without any indication.

Second the task to combine plant anatomy and plant physiology.

And last but not least: This task started with a scheme of a cross-section of maize. Questions about this scheme had to be answered with the help of the sections students had made themselves. However, most students treated this task as a pure theoretical one, without consulting their own sections.

The achievements of all the students on the various parts of the tasks are given in the appendix.

On the ground of these results six (6) golden, thirteen (13) silver, and nineteen (19) bronze medals were awarded.

Anna Bown from Australia, who at the final manifestation spoke on behalf of the students, was the model winner of this Olympiad.

This made this Olympiad to a great success for the Australian team (that in the Olympiad of last year was less successful) and also for the Chinese team which participated this year for the first time. The distribution of the medals among the participating countries is shown below.

23

Gold Siver Bronze

China		1	3	-
Australia		1	2	1
Poland		1	2	1
Czech Republic		1	1	2
Netherlands		1	1	2
Bulgaria	1	-	-	
Germany		-	2	1
Turkey		-	1	3
Belorussia		-	1	-
Sweden		-	-	4
Thailand		-	-	3
Ukraine		-	-	1
Russia		-	-	1

Optical scorable answer sheets were used in the Theoretical Test, part A. This offers the opportunity to perform a statistical analysis. The results are represented in the following tables I and II.

A survey of the answers of each individual student shows table I. It is remarkable that 18 students (= 30 % of the total population) did not fill out all questions. In table I this is indicated with '-'. For some students it is obvious that lack of time has caused this. Student nr 19 left out the very number of 13 questions.

Tabel I: Theory, part A, answers of each student

Participation number and name of each student, followed by a code for each question.

- correct answer
 skipped question
 not answered
- A, B, C, or E incorrect answer

1	Bown	.CD.*		CE.C	BCC	.A.**	CAA.A
		**BCABA.	BD*.*B.	DC.C	*	*	•
2	Boon	DCBCCD.*	B	CDBEC	AACADA	.A.*D*	.BA.AA
		**B.A.AB.D	BB*.*	DB.AAAC	*.B.DC.C	A.E.A.C*DA	
3	Knatko	C.DA*	A.CD	BC	.ABBA	.D.*B*	BA.
		**B.A	*.*.B	A	*B.B	BCCA*DD	
4	Teneva	ACC.DB*	A	DC	CCACDBB	.A.**	B
		**BAA.D	A*.*	AD	*.DA.B	BCCDA*D-	
5	Xing	DCB*	A	.cc	AC	.D.*B*	.ADA.
	-	**A	B*.*	C	*DA	.CC*C.	
6	Lhota	DC*	ABC	C.	D.CB	.A.**	В
		**BBB.BD	B*.*	DC.A	*В	AC*.D	
7	Wurzinger	DC*	CA.D.D	DED	C.AADB.A	.DA**	.EC.BD
		**A.BA.C	A*.*.A	DB.A.A	*D	.CD*DB	•
8	Houben	.C*	CDD	C.C.D	B.ACA	*.D.*	.BACA
		**	B*.*	BA.A	*.AD.C.C	A.D*	•
9	Gomulka	DC*	AD	DE	AC.DBA	**	C
		**B	B*.*	A	*В	D.*C.	•
10	Slokasova	DCC.EB*	A	DB	CCAD.BB	.DD*B*	.AC.DD
		**BAC.B	B*.*	ΑΑΑ	*CAD.B.A	DACA*CB	•
11	Hric	DCB*	ABCDB.	DC.D	BCBB	*D*	A.
		**BCBAAC	BB*.*.D	AAACDD	*.DD.BB	BAEDA*A.	•
12	Bartfay	.C*	CA	ССВ	.BACCC.A.C	.AD*C*A.	.AA.C.A
		**B.ADAC	*.*	DB	*BDA	AA*DB	•
13	Vejabhuti	BCA*	A	СЕВ		D*C*	.AD.D.C.
		**B	C*.*	D	*B	BAC*DD	
14	Koseoglu	DCC.DB*	A.C.D.B.	D	.AAD.B	AA.*C*	A.A.E.
		**BCBAAAB.	B*.*	AD.A.	*.DBCB	BA.CC.D*DD	•

15	Savrasov	.CBDA*	AD	DD	.ACD.B	.A.**	CAA.CCA
16	Handfield	**BCB.BA.D DC*	A.B*.* A	-BDDB CC	*D DCC	B.DA*AA **	.BA.ACD
17	Smets	**B .CC*	A.*.* A	D DCC.C	*C .AD.BCCABB	B.DAD* .AA*C*	D.B.AA
10	Truchatchova	**BABB DCA.DA*	AD*B*.B DD	AB.A.DB.	*.ABCD.A	DCC.AD.*EB A*C*.C	A.B
18	Truchacchova	**B.B.BD	B*.*	BBAA	CA.C.BB *D.B	BC.C*C.	· · · · A.D. · ·
19	Shouroulinkov	ACBE* **B.A.B	AA. B*.*.D	C	ACCA-B.A *.DC.B	.A.*.D.* C*	.AA -
20	Yue-Yi	AC* **BB	B*.*		CC *	.D.** D*CD	
21	Kotorova	DCC.D.*	D.ABCD.D	DD	B.A.AB.B	.AA*C*	.ACDA
22	Niebisch	**B.ACAB.C D*	B*.* C	AABD DC.BD	*.BAA.C ACCB.C	ACDAA.D*ED .AA**	CACCD.
23	Bakker	**CD DCBB*	A.BB.*.* A.DA.	DCD CAC	*A.D BCCA	A.CAD*.D D*C*.D	.ABD.D
24	Nalena	**D.A	A*E*E.	A.A	*.AC	.BDA*ED	•
24	Nalepa	DCD.* **C	A B*.*	CDC. DB	A.CA *C	*C* .C*CD	CAB.D.
25	Ramil	BABDA* **.BA.AA.D	.AA.CA.A B*.*	D.BC ACAAC	DBBC *DA	.DD*C* A.AA.D.*C.	ACDBAA
26	Svetlansky	DCA.BCB*	.AAAC.BAA.	DD.CC	A.CC.A.C	.A.*D*A.	.A.AD.BA
27	Ahlen	**BCBCBAAD DCB*	B*.*BD CD	ACADD BE.B	*.DD.B.A B.DBC.BC	BCAA*EA D*C*	СВ.А.
28	Nuntarukchaikul	**BAD.D DCA*	A*.* C.C	DC.AEAD CAED	*A.BB .ABCAB.	AA.DC* .AA*C*	.AD.A.C.
		**BBD	B.*.*	DCAD	*BAA	B.E.AD.*CB	•
29	Sonat	.CB* **BAAA	C*.*.D	DD AD.	AC.ABB *B.D.C	D*C* BCEDB*CB	CCDD
30	Kovtun	BC.D.* **C-B.B	.CA.CAA D.*.*	E.C ACAA	ACBCC *.DC.A	D*C* .BC.A.D*ED	ACA.CD
31	Henry	DCC.A.*	D	BEC	C.DCC	.B.**	C
32	De Keulenaar	**B.C DC.EDB*	B*.* CBCD	A DE.B.D	*C.D CC.DB.A	AD*.D .DA*D*.C	• •A••CBBA•C
22	Kuzmina	**B.C.BB	BD*.*	D.A.ADC.D.	*.B.CD BCA.DA.A	DBDD.*EB	
33	Kuzmina	.CD.* **BA	A B*.*	C D.AD.DB	*B	.A.*C* BCD*AD	С.В
34	Karaulanov	DCB* **B.AA	B*.*	C	A.CABB *	.A.*C* BCD*D-	C.B -
35	Lu	DC * **B B	B*.*	.DC	CDB *.DC	.D.**	.AC.AA
36	Hulva	BCDCA*	A.CC	D	BCADCC	A.DDC.D*.D .A.**	CC.DD
37	Scheler	**AABC DCA.AB*	BB*.* ADB	DE DE.BC.	*.DDE.C AC.CD.A	A*CD .DA*C*	E ACCB.D.
		**CAAB.C	D.A*.*AD	AB.A.ACAD-	*.ADA.	AC.D.D.*DA	•
38	Raats	DC* **B.A.BB.B	AD B*.*.D	DADBC. BD	B.ACACC *C.DCA.	.AD*C* .A*DD	ADADD
39	Kaminski	.C* **BA.C	A A.B*.*		A *DDA	*.A.* AD*C.	BD
40	Kaganski	ACDB* **BCACBD	D D.A.B*.*	D DBDC	C.C.BC *.DD.C	.A.*B*.A BCCA*CD	BDC.DC
41	Jankacka	DC*	.AD.C	DBABB	C.ABCB.C	.DA*CAD*	• .A.ADBBBCD
42	Edvarsson	**B.AA.BAD DCB*	B*.*C. ABCADD.A	BBDC.B BADAE.	*.AB.BC ACCB.A	BCCDD.D*C. .DA**	CDA
43	Utanwutipong	**.AC.BB .C*	A.CB.*.* AD	.C.ADCC	*D.A DB.	BCE*D. **	.ED.A.C.
40		**BCAA	BB*.*	.AC	*E	BCCCA.D*	•
44	Erdonmez	DC * ** B . D	D C**	 AE	B.B.C *B	.A.*.DC* AA.D*	A.A

45	Rosul	DCDB*	D.D.A.AA	C.E.AB.D	BCDDDBBC	.DD*D.B*	.BBC.CC.
		**CAA.C	A.ABB*.*	ACACCDCD	*.BDCCAA	BB.CC*CB	•
46	Shaw	.C*	.CADD	D.BB.C	СВ	.DC*C*	D.D.DB
		**BCAA	*.*	DAAA.DD	*.BDC.C	AB.CA*D.	
47	Mansouri	DCBC.D.*	AB.A.D.A	AD.C.EC	.AACB	A*.C.*	AEADA.B.C.
		**BD.BAC	BAD*.*	ABAD	*.A.ABC	DCCCD*EA	
48	Petrikovich	.CC.D.*	A.CD.C	СВС	ABC.DBBC	D*B*.A	CC.D
		**B.A.B	AD.*.*	DCAAD	*.DB	DAC.AD.*A.	
49	Jordanov	ACBC.DB*	A.D	DDC	СВС.С	.DD*.AC*	.AA.B
		**AA	*.*.D	BBA.DCCB	*.DC	DC.C.D.*C.	
50	Xiao-Guiang	*********		E.C	СВ	.D.**	.AD
	-	**BCCB	B*.*	D	*A	BCECD*CB	
51	Kratzerova	AB*	ABCD	CEC.	ADCC	.A.*.DC*	.BD
		**BBD	DB*.*	DBAAE	*B	AC*D-	•
52	Kittler	DCCB*	AAB	CB	ССА.В	.DA*C*	САА
		**BBA	A.B*.*	B.AAE	*DE	ACECD*AB	•
53	Nijdam	BCDE.C*	CBD	CCAB	ВС	.AA**	DDAD
		**BB	AD.*.*	AA.CD.	*BC.DC.C	.EA.C*C.	
54	Tomalski	.C*	A.CD	C	A.CA	**	DB
		**BABAB	B*.*	В	*DD	.CEC.DD*CB	•
55	Kvasjnina	DCC.D.*	AD	СЕ	A-DDC	.DD*D*AC	.EA.ADABAD
		**BCC.CA.D	*.*	DBAA	*.BB	AA*ED	
56	Kilarska	DCCADB*	.EC.AD	DAAEB	B.ACC.BB.B	D*.AB*	CBDDD.DBD.
		**BC.A.B	A*.*.B	DBAE.A.	*.B.DD	DBECD*C.	•
57	Jonemo	.c*	BCD	BAED	DA.C.ABC	D**	.AA.D
		**BCA.A.A.	DBC*.*	B.AA.AC	*.D.DA	A.AA*	•
58	Chumsri	DC*	B	E.	СС.ВС	.AA*C*	.AB.CA
		**B.BABD	A.B*.*	.BDAC	*EA.B	.AD*C.	•
59	Sekercioglu	DCB*	A	D	ACCBA	**	A
		**B.AB.	B*.*	AA.	*.DAA	AADA*.D	•
60	Shtonda	.CD.*	• • • • • • • • • • •	С	C.D	.DA*C.C*	.AD
		**CAA.B	*.*	.AAA	*AE	BCAAABD*C-	-

Table II represents a complete item analysis. In this analysis all items (questions) were equally balanced. In fact this is not quite correct, because the obtainable scores varied: 83 questions 1 point 16 questions 2 points 3 questions 3 points

3 questions 3 points 9 questions were skipped (10-44-48-61-62-76-78-91-108).

Of each item the following data are indicated.

- P = fraction of the students with a correct answer.

Very difficult questions (P < 0.30) were: 1-2-13-40-42-55-57-63-101-109. The most difficult question was 2. Only 10 % of the students gave a correct answer. Very easy (P > 0.95) were: 4-7-41-72-77-99. The most simple question was nr 41 with 98 % correct answers.

The mean values of P for each domain are: Cell BiologyP = 0,7326 questions Plant Physiolgy P = 0,56 13 questions Animal Physiology P = 0,748 questions Animal Anatomy P = 0,608 questions Ethology P = 0,528 questions Genetics P = 0.7120 questions P = 0.6019 questions Ecology Overall, part A P = 0,67102 questions

Besides table II also the following graph show the value of P for each individual question.



- RIR Item Test Correlation

This is a kind of Discrimination Index for each item between 'bright' and 'poor' students. RIR varies between -1 and +1.

The higher RIR the better the question, which means that students with a correct score on the considered item in the average have a high score on the whole test.

RIR = 0 means: bright and poor students perform equally.

RIR < 0 means: a tricky question, poor students answer this question better than bright students.

The average value of RIR for the whole theoretical test part A was 0,22, which is not bad. Excellent discriminating questions (RIR > 0,40) were: 15-38-43-54-67-81-82-86-93-96. The best were 38 and 82 (both RIR = 0,59)

Poor discriminating questions (RIR < 0,05) were: 16-22-29-33-34-39-72-75-92-97-100-107-111 Question nr 75 with RIR = - 0,29 was really very tricky.

Besides table II also the following graph show the value of RIR for each individual question.



F (frequency)

This number indicates how many students have chosen each alternative A, B, C, D or E.

- Z Index indicating the quality of the alternatives of an item.

Normally Z varies between -2 and +2.

Alternatives chosen by poor students have Z < 0 Alternatives chosen by bright students have Z > 0

Z = 0 means that the same amount of bright and poor students have chosen the alternative. The quality of the alternatives of an item can be compared in observing the Z-values. Better quality

means: Z-value higher positive for the correct alternative and Z-value more negative for the incorrect alternatives.

Excellent discriminating and balanced items are:

2-13-38-43-47-57-81-86-109.

All these questions have Z > 0.49 for the correct alternative.

Poor discriminating and balanced questions are 16-22-75-92-100-107-111.

In considering all Z-values it is possible to trace the catch alternatives in which especially the bright students blundered in choosing an incorrect alternative. These alternatives are:

74 A	(Z = 1,42)
42 B	(Z = 1,19)
75 C	(Z = 0,62)
22 D	(Z = 1,24)
16 D	(Z = 0,95)
46 D	(Z = 0,53)
88 D	(Z = 1, 14)
28 E	(Z = 0,68)

Tabel II Item analysis

			А			В				с		D		
ITEMNR	Р	RIR	F	Z	F	Z		F	Z	F	Z	F	Z	
1	.27	.17	6	.19	5	03		16	.28 *	33	16	0	•	
2	.10	.17	1	79	0	•		53	04	6	.50 *	0	•	
3	.85	.18	1	-1.85	5	05		51	.07 *	2	02	0	•	
4	.97	.11		•		•		0	•	58	.02 *	2	62	
5	.90	.37	54	.12 *	5	-1.10		0	•		•		•	
6	.65	.30	2	61		•		19	39	39	.22 *	0	•	
7	.97	.24	1	-1.64		.05			99		•	0	•	
8	.60	.31	2	10		.25			•		40	1	47	
9	.57	.28	7	.12	18	49		34	.24 *	0	•	1	29	
10	•	•	•	•	•			•	•		•	•	•	
11	.95	.35	0	•	57	.08	*	0	•	2	-1.56	0	•	
12	.90	.35	3	-1.38	0				25	54	.12 *	1	-1.66	
13	.25	.28	35	03	15	.49	*	7	36	3	-1.22	0	•	
14	.80	.25		87		42			.12 *	0	•	0	•	
15	.63	.40	2	-1.94	38	.31	*	19	40	1	28	0	•	
16	.90	.00	4	48	0	•		0	•	2	.95	54	.00 *	
17	.87	.38	2	-1.15	2	-1.43		52	.15 *	4	66	0	•	
18	.50	.31	4	86	2	81		30	.31 *	24	18	0	•	
19	.90	.24	4	60		91			•	54	.08 *	0	•	
20	.83	.16	4	82	50	.07	*	3	10	3	01	0	•	
21	.43	.38	1	-1.53	26	.43	*	14	.13	18	63	0	•	
22	.93	.00	0	•	1	-1.55		2	.12	1	1.24	56	.00 *	
23	.88	.11	0	•	4	21		2	.44	53	.04 *	1	-2.12	
24	.83	.12	7	41	50	.05	*	3	.08	0	•	0	•	
25	.58	.31	3	-1.32	2	.09		35	.26 *	17	33	3	.06	
26	.93	.39	1	-1.56	0	•		0	•	56	.10 *	3	-1.41	
27	.92	.32	55	.10 *	2	-1.14		3	-1.03	0	•	0	•	

Е

28	.70	.12 1	65	8	62	4	30	42	.08 *	5	.68
29	.73	01 0		1	.20	6	.09	44	01 *	9	05
30	.40	.21 24	.25 *	7	40	21	.10	8	69	0	
31	.65	.24 0		10	29	9	26	39	.17 *	0	
32	.85	.25 6	51	2	07		-2.13	51	.10 *	0	
33	.47	.02 20	12	5	.32	28		7	.01	0	•
											•
34	.55	.04 4	82	3	75	19		33	.04 *	0	•
35	.35	.12 8	.03	3	62	27		21	.17 *	0	•
36	.62	.12 9	.03	37	.10	* 6	58	7	.13	0	•
37	.58	.34 35	.29 *	2	90	13	24	9	53	0	•
38	.55	.59 9	19	13	92	33	.53 *	4	63	0	•
39	.67	.04 40	.03 *	17	.02	2	23	1	-1.02	0	•
40	.18	.20 12	.01	16	18	21	09	11	.42 *	0	
41	.98	.07 1	52	0	•	59	.01 *	0	•	0	
42	.28	.21 22	09	1	1.19	17		18	16	0	-
43	.48	.49 15	54	29	.50			15	42	0	
44											•
	•		•	•	•	• •		•	•	•	•
45	.95	.24 0	•	57	.05				-2.11	0	•
46	.85	.05 4	42	51	.02			4	.53	0	•
47	.38	.39 23	.49 *	7	47	24	.00	6	-1.33	0	•
48	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
49	.95	.19 3	81	57	.04	* 6	•	0	•	0	•
50	.88	.19 2	44	53	.07	* 3	57	1	.12	0	•
51	.83	.14 2	-1.43	50	.06	* 7	03	1	07	0	
52	.45	.16 22	.03	6	44	27	.17 *	0		4	34
53	.75	.18 9		3	80	45		2	88	0	
54	.83		-1.72	50	.19		-1.06	6	62	0	•
55	.28	.19 13		17	.30			12	15	0	•
											•
56	.68	.21 41	.15 *		-1.40	11		6	28	0	•
57	.27	.31 14		17	20	16		11	30	0	•
58	.87		-1.19	7	40	52		0	•	0	•
59	.65	.26 8	26	39	.19	* 8		4	42	1	56
60	.68	.19 7	50	41	.13	* 2	79	10	01	0	•
61	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•
62	•	• •	•		•		•		•	•	•
63	.18	.17 11	.35 *	43	01	5	69	1	.05	0	•
64	.65	.09 7	20	3	.13	10	10	39	.06 *	0	
65	.35	.15 22	25	10	.11	7		21	.20 *	0	
66	.78	.32 6	33	47	.17	* 6	74		-1.49	0	
67	.50	.45 9	33	19	40		-1.48	30	.45 *	0	•
68	.38	.27 17	30	15	22	23		5	.43	0	•
											•
69 70	.82	.15 8	51	3	.17	49		0	•	0	•
70	.62	.37 37	.29 *	3	35	7		13	36	0	•
71	.67	.37 11	59	5	43	40		3	62	0	•
72	.97		-1.45	58	.01			0	•	0	•
73	.83	.12 7	29	2	.02	1	63	50	.05 *	0	•
74	.78	.14 1	1.42	8	49	47	.08 *	4	26	0	•
75	.35	21 21	29 *	31	.21	4	.62	4	71	0	•
76	•				•		•		•		
77	.97	.10 0		1	-1.18	e	•	58	.02 *	1	.13
78	•		•								
79	.92		-1.37	2	35		-1.56	55	.06 *	1	.12
80	.80	.33 1		3	70	48		7	76	0	
											•
81	.35	.57 12		8	07	21		18	12	0 27	•
82	.45	.59 6	25	16	55	9		0	•	27	.65 *
83	.55	.06 23			-1.03	33		0	•	0	•
84	.77	.23 11	32	0	•	З		46	.13 *	0	•
85	.77	.34 4	-1.40	46	.19	* 1	-2.15	8	12	1	08
86	.33	.43 20	16	20	.60	* 8	.22	11	-1.06	0	•
87	.63	.37 0	•	38	.28 [·]	* 10	76	7	39	5	09

88	.92	.05	2	11	2	90		55	.02	*	1	1.14		0		
89	.77	.26	3	39	1	-1.21		46	.14	*	6	41		0		
90	.88	.17	0		2	.12		53	.06	*	2	95		0	•	
91	•	•						•							•	
92	.90	05	54	02 *	2	02		3	.18		0	•		1	.40	
93	.53	.58	7	76	7	-1.17		1	10		13	29		32	.54	*
94	.42	.15	5	.11	9	09		25	.17	*	20	16		0		
95	.83	.34	1	-1.48	50	.15	*	4	-1.27		5	21		0		
96	.63	.42	38	.32 *	12	54		6	36		4	85		0		
97	.87	.04	6	.10	52	.01	*	1	-1.46		1	.12		0		
98	.60	.18	13	46	0	•		7	11		36	.15	*	4	.33	
99	.97	.15	2	80	0	•		58	.03	*	0	•		0	•	
100	.70	04	42	03 *	7	.22		9	01		2	13		0		
101	.25	.24	18	.16	20	09		15	.41	*	7	-1.03		0		
102	.33	.26	8	09	6	95		25	03		20	.36	*	1	12	
103	.35	.17	5	47	21	.23	*	12	41		12	.47		9	36	
104	.40	.27	16	13	24	.33	*	11	32		7	29		0		
105	.65	.17	14	20	39	.13	*	4	.47		2	-1.64		0		
106	.80	.11	0		1	.58		0	•		10	29		48	.05	*
107	.63	08	38	06 *	1	.00		4	46		16	.29		0		
108	•	•	•	•	•	•		•			•	•			•	
109	.20	.37	5	42	12	.74	*	21	.15		13	10		8	-1.04	
110	.35	.05	5	-1.27	11	32		21	.07	*	18	.39		0	•	
111	.93	10	0	•	0	•		56	03	*	0	•		1	.03	



Anna Bown top Gold medal winner of Australia, a touching moment

Ranking 4th IBO				Practical Part							Theo	retical	Overall	
				I	II III IV V Tot			Total	в	Α	Total	Pr+201	*Th/187	
			Maximum	40	41	45	39	36	201	63	124	187	402	
			Mean	20	17	11	25	23	97	36	79	115	221	
1	Anna	Bown	Australia		29				139	43	98	141	290	Gold
2	Stanislav	Lhota	Czech		13				130	47	93	140	280	
3	Liu	Yue-Yi	China		18				114	45	107	152	277	
4	Karol	Kaminski	Poland		19				111	51	103	154	276	
5	Emil	Karaulanov	Bulgaria		26				122	52	92	144	276	
6	Stephan	Houben	Netherland	\$36	22	6	33	27	124	46	94	140	274	
7	Xu	Xing	China	21	24	17	25	25	112	47	97	144	267	Silver
8	Toby	Handfield	Australia	16	36	19	26	22	119	39	96	135	264	
9	Amanda	Henry	Australia	17	26	12	30	27	112	46	94	140	262	
10	Elena	Knatno	Belorussia	17	16	28	31	31	123	41	88	129	262	
11	Sander	Nijdam	Netherlands	s31	37	12	29	28	137	40	76	116	262	
12	Cagan Hakki	Sekercioglu	Turkey	22	27	14	21	28	112	47	91	138	260	
13	Lenka	Kratzerova	Czech	31	27	19	24	28	129	43	78	121	259	
	Ou Gang	Xiao-Guang	China	19	14	18	27	25	103	52	92	144	258	
15	Gao	Lu	China	19	26	13	26	23	107	45	94	139	256	
	Katja	Wurzinger	Germany		28				132	36	77	113	253	
	Axel	Niebisch	Germany		21				118	44	81	125	252	
	Grzegorz	Nalepa	Poland		19				103	46	93	139	252	
19	Szymon	Gomulka	Poland	25	20	4	24	22	95	47	99	146	251	
20	Pavel	Hulva	Czech	27	13	22	27	27	116	43	76	119	243	Bronze
21	Johan	Ahlen	Sweden	35	28	7	29	26	125	34	76	110	243	
22	Can Kerem	Erdonmez	Turkey	30	14	9	21	24	98	39	95	134	241	
23	Chris	Bakker	Netherlands	s22	24	11	31	27	115	38	80	118	241	
24	Saranya	Chumsri	Thailand	28	16	12	21	21	98	45	84	129	236	
25	Radoslaw	Tomalski	Poland	21	11	5	23	25	85	51	88	139	234	
26	Johan	Jonemo	Sweden	-	23	4	35	23	105	34	81	115	229	
	Choladda	Vejabhuti	Thailand	19	4	8	31	22	84	40	94	134	228	
	Edith	Raats	Netherlands						104	35	76	111	223	
	Warit	Utanwutipong	Thailand		1		13		82	39	90	129	221	
	Amanda	Shaw	Australia		21				104		75	107	219	
	Inci Asli	Sonat	Turkey		18				97	36	78	114	219	
	Ralf	Kittler	Germany		12				103	32	73	105	216	
	Ivana	Kotorova	Czech		14				103	41	63 70	104	215	
	Sven-Erik	Bartfay	Sweden		14				90 07	37 22	79 95	116	214	
	Boris Rachmatullin	Shtonda Romil	Ukraine Russia		13 22				97 104	23 31	85 68	108 99	213 212	
	Hikmet	Koseoglu	Turkey		17				95	40	69	109	212	
	Magnus	Edvardsson	Sweden		18				98	33	03 71	103	212	
	-													
		Nuntarukchaikul			22				84 106	40	74 64	114	207	Honourable
-	Nico	Boon	Belgium		23				106	29	64 57	93 80	205	mention
	Tanja	Scheler	Germany		19				109	32	57 54	89 97	205	
	lvor Dimitro	Svetlansky Kovtun	Slovakia Ukraine		11 20				107 93	33 29	54 72	87 101	201 201	
	Elena	Truchatchova	Belorussia						93 78	29 33	72 80	101	201 199	
	Dejan	Jordanov	Bulgaria		22				93	33 26	80 72	98	199	
	Nataliaq	Slokasova	Russia		17				93 86	20 29	72	90 101	198	
	Andrea	Jankacka	Slovakia		16				100	23	56	84	190	
	Lucia	Kilarska	Slovakia		15				100	25	50 54	79	188	
	Eva	Smets	Belgium		7				81	32		96	184	
				. 0		-								

50 Miroslav	Hric	Slovakia	23	13	7	28	22	93	26	58	84	183
51 Michail	Kaganski	Russia	3	26	11	18	5	63	28	74	102	173
52 Yevgen	Savrasov	Ukraine	17	13	2	27	17	76	15	71	86	168
53 Elena	Kutzmina	Belorussia	6	14	7	12	21	60	13	85	98	165
54 Petar	Shouroulinkov	Bulgaria	13	13	0	17	21	64	16	70	86	156
55 Xavier	de Keulenaar	Belgium	8	10	4	23	24	81	29	61	90	155
56 Miroslav	Rosul	Ukraine	15	12	6	11	26	70	23	52	75	151
57 Dmitri	Petrikovich	Belorussia	13	6	1	0	18	38	30	72	102	148
58 Madjid	Mansouri	Belgium	4	11	0	20	18	53	23	60	83	142
59 Tania	Teneva	Bulgaria	5	6	0	13	19	43	17	75	92	141
60 Elena	Kvasjnina	Russia	6	7	0	6	14	33	30	69	99	139